

MINUTES OF THE 49TH MEETING OF THE
PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON 29.9.2003



ANNEXURE-I

राष्ट्रीय राजधानी क्षेत्र योजना बोर्ड NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION PLANNING BOARD

1st Floor, Zone-IV,
India Habitat Centre,
Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003

शहरी विकास एवं गरीबी उपशमन मंत्रालय
Ministry of Urban Development
& Poverty Alleviation
Fax No. : 4642163

सं० के-14011/24/2003-रा०रा०क्षे०यो० बोर्ड

दिनांक : 10.10.2003

विषय : राष्ट्रीय राजधानी क्षेत्र योजना बोर्ड, प्रथम तल आई० एच० सी० लोधी रोड नई दिल्ली में
दिनांक 29.9.2003 को आयोजित की गई योजना समिति की 49 वीं बैठक का
कार्यवृत्त।

कृपया इसके साथ संलग्न योजना समिति की 49 वीं बैठक का कार्यवृत्त सूचना एवं
आवश्यक कार्रवाई हेतु प्राप्त करें।


10/10

(राजीव मल्होत्रा)
संयुक्त निदेशक

संलग्नक : उपरोक्तनुसार।



BY COURIER

**राष्ट्रीय राजधानी क्षेत्र योजना बोर्ड
NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION
PLANNING BOARD**

1st Floor, Zone-IV,
India Habitat Centre,
Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003
शहरी विकास एवं गरीबी उपशमन मंत्रालय
Ministry of Urban Development
& Poverty Alleviation
Fax No. : 4642163

No.K-14011/24/2003-NCRPB

Dated : 10.10.2003

Sub: Minutes of the 49th meeting of the Planning Committee held on 29.9.2003 in the office of the NCR Planning Board, 1st, Floor, IHC, Lodi Road, New Delhi.

Enclosed please find the minutes of the 49th meeting of the Planning Committee for information and necessary action.

(Rajeev Malhotra)
Joint Director

To :

1. Shri P.K. Pradhan, Joint Secretary (D&L), Ministry of Urban Development & Poverty Alleviation, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.
2. Shri Bhaskar Chatterjee, Commissioner & Secretary, Town & Country Planning Deptt., Govt. of Haryana, Haryana Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh, Haryana.
3. Shri J.S. Mishra, Principal Secretary, Housing Deptt., Govt. of U.P., Bapu Bhawan, Uttar Pradesh Secretariat, Lucknow, U.P.
4. Shri Ashok Sampatram, Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Deptt., Govt. of Rajasthan, Rajasthan Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. Shri Anil Baijal, Vice-Chairman, Delhi Development Authority, Vikas Sadan Near INA Colony, New Delhi-110 023.
6. Shri N.C. Wadhwa, Director, Town & Country Planning & Urban Estate and Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector-18, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh
7. Shri K.T. Gurmukhi, Chief Planner, Town & Country Planning Organisation, Govt. of India, Vikas Bhawan, I.P. Estate, New Delhi.
8. Shri T.T. Joseph, Principal Secretary (PWD), Govt. of NCT-Delhi, 5th Level, Delhi Secretariat, PWD Secretariat, I.P. Estate, New Delhi
9. Shri V.K. Gupta, Chief Town & Country Planner, Town & Country Planning Deptt., Govt. of U.P., 7, Bandaria Bagh, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh.
10. Shri U.K. Srivastava, Chief Town Planner (NCR), Town & Country Planning Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Nagar Niyojan Bhawan, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
11. Shri A.K. Mishra, Principal Adviser (HUD), Planning Commission, Yojna Bhawan, New Delhi.
12. Shri L.M. Mehta, Chairman & Managing Director, Housing & Urban Development Corp., HUDCO House, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110 003.
13. Dr. R.R. Khan, Advisor (IA-1), Department Of Environment, Ministry of Environment & Forest, Paryavaran Bhawan, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110 003.
14. Shri Ashok Wasson, Chief Engineer (Planning), Min. of Road Transport and Highways, Transport Bhawan, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110 011.

D:jd(b)allfiles2003/10oct-dec

15. Shri K.K. Gupta, Executive Director (Delhi Metro), Railway Board, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi.
16. Ms. Geeta Banerjee, Director (TPS), Deptt. Of Telecommunication, Sanchar Bhawan, New Delhi.
17. Shri S.K. Jayaswal, Dy. Secretary (OM), Ministry of Power, Shram Shakti Bhavan, New Delhi.
18. Shri A.K. Jain. Commissioner (Plg.), Delhi Development Authority, Vikas Minar, New Delhi.
19. Shri D.P. Tiwari, Secretary, Department of Housing and Environment, Govt. of Madhya Pradesh Secretariat, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh.
20. Shri G.S. Sandhu, Secretary, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Govt. of Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh, Punjab.
21. Shri D.P. Singh, Commissioner, NCR Planning Cell, Town & Country Planning Deptt., Navyug Market, Commercial Building, IInd Floor, Ghaziabad, U.P.
22. Smt. Nisha Singh, Director, Delhi Division, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi
23. Shri Surjit Singh, Chief Co-Ordinator Planner, (NCR Planning Cell), C/O Chief Administrator, HUDA, SCO, Sector-6, Panchkula, Haryana.
24. Shri Amar Chand, Asstt. Secretary, BMCC, Min. of Power, F-Wing, IInd Floor, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.
25. Shri S.K. Zaman, Chief Co-ordinator Planner, NCR Planning Cell, Town & Country Planning Deptt., Navyug Market, Commercial Building, IInd Floor, Ghaziabad, U.P.
26. Shri Chandu Bhutia, Associate Town & Country Planner, NCR Planning Cell, Govt. of NCT-Delhi, Room No.507, 5th Level, Delhi Secretariat, I.P. Estate, New Delhi.
27. Smt. Shashi B. Srivastava, Director, NCRPB.
28. Shri K.S. Chandrashekar, Joint Director, NCRPB.
29. Shri V.K. Thakore, Joint Director, NCRPB.
30. Shri Rajeev Chadha, Joint Director, NCRPB.
31. Shri S. Surendra, Dy. Director, NCRPB.
32. Shri Dinesh Arora, Asstt. Director, NCRPB.
33. Ms. Anjali Pancholy, Asstt. Director, NCRPB.
34. Ms. Meenkashi Singh, Asstt. Director, NCRPB.
35. Mrs. Kankan Katariya, Consultant, NCRPB
36. P.S. to M.S.
37. P.S. to CRP.

MINUTES OF THE 49TH MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD AT 11.30 AM ON 29.9.2003 IN THE OFFICE OF THE NCR PLANNING BOARD, 1ST FLOOR, ZONE-IV, INDIA HABITAT CENTRE, LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI.

A list of the participants is enclosed.

The Chairman welcomed the members and the invitees to the 49th meeting of the Planning Committee and then took up the Agenda for discussion.

AGENDA ITEM NO.1: CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES OF THE 48TH MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON 24.10.2002.

It was informed that there were no comments/objections received in regard to the minutes of the 48th meeting of the Planning Committee held on 24.10.2002. They were confirmed.

AGENDA ITEM NO.2: REVIEW OF THE ACTION TAKEN OF THE LAST MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON 24.10.2002

A) Change of landuse received from Govt. of UP and DDA considered in the 47th meeting of the Planning Committee.

(i) The change of landuse of an area measuring about 56 ha. from "rural use" to "residential, public and semi-public and recreational use" in the south of Mehrauli-Mahipalpur road, NCT-Delhi.

The Committee was informed that the above proposal had already been approved and the approval had been conveyed to DDA by the Ministry of Urban Development & Poverty Alleviation.

(ii) The change of landuse for an area measuring 252 ha. from "agriculture use" to "recreational use" in Greater NOIDA, UP.

The Chairman commented on the delay in submission of information by GNIDA and also wondered as to how any piece of land could be acquired without getting the landuse changed first. He requested representative of GNIDA to send full facts in the matter without delay.

During discussions, it was observed that the area in question fell in the agricultural area, as described in the Development Plan already approved by the Board and no rational was available on the basis of which it could be assumed that the proposed landuse change would result in better implementation of the approved Development Plan. The representative from GNIDA spoke of the Authority's Plan for 2021 and said that a provision had been made for a continuous stretch of green.

After detailed deliberations, it was decided that the proposal, as it was, could not be cleared and it should first be examined by a Committee comprising Chief Regional Planner, NCRPB, Chief Coordinator Planner, Uttar Pradesh, Chief Coordinator Planner, Haryana and General Manager, Planning, GNIDA. The Committee would submit its report to the Board within 30 days which would be placed in the next meeting of the Planning Committee.

(iii) The change of landuse for an area measuring 200 ha. from "agriculture use" to "mandi, warehousing and wholesale activities" in Greater NOIDA, UP.

GM (Planning) - Greater NOIDA Development Authority informed that there was no immediate requirement for the above and this proposal will be incorporated in the Master Plan -2021. She said that the proposal could be dropped at this stage. Her suggestion was agreed to. The proposal was dropped.

B) Draft Regional Plan-2021

This agenda item was discussed at item No.4.

C) Proposal for modification of the Zoning Regulations of RP-2001 for permitting petrol pumps in the "green buffer along the major transport corridors".

After discussions, it was felt that there is a need for preparing comprehensive guidelines for locating petrol pumps & other highway facilities/amenities in the green buffer along the major transport corridors as there was no provision in this regard in the RP-2001. It was decided to form a Group under the chairmanship of Commissioner (Planning), DDA with Chief Coordinator Planners of U.P. & Haryana, Chief Town Planner - Rajasthan, one representative of TCPO and Chief Regional Planner, NCRPB as members. NCRPB will convene the meetings. This committee will submit its report within 30 days to the Board which would be placed in the next meeting of the Planning Committee.

AGENDA ITEM NO.3: CONSIDERATION OF LANDUSE CHANGE PROPOSALS RECEIVED FROM RAJASTHAN GOVERNMENT AND DDA.

Agenda item No.3.1 Change of landuse for an area measuring 5.23 ha. from "rural use" to "Industrial use" in the Humjapur, tehsil Behror in Rajasthan Sub-Region.

Chief Town Planner (NCR) - Rajasthan explained the proposal. Chairman observed that agricultural land should not be used for locating such industrial units. He also wondered as to why such a unit could not be located in the adjoining developed industrial estate. Principal Secretary, Housing and Urban Planning, Government of U.P. shared Chairman's views and said that the industrial activities in the rural areas should be discouraged.

After detailed discussions, the Planning Committee took a view that such proposals should be discouraged and in case land was available in the organized industrial areas being planned by the State governments, the concerned units should be located there, to the extent possible. This proposal was rejected.

Agenda Item No.3.2: Change of Landuse for 37.0 Ha. (91.4 Acres) In Zone 'O' From "Agriculture and Water-Body" (A-4) To "Residential" For Slum Resettlement at Madanpur Khadar, Delhi

Commissioner (Planning), DDA explained the proposal. Director (DD), Ministry of UD&PA stated that DDA had submitted this change of landuse proposal to the Ministry after obtaining the approval of Authority of DDA. This proposal has been sent by the Ministry to the NCR Planning Board for their recommendations.

It was pointed-out by JD(M) and other officials of the Planning Wing that the proposed site falls in the Zone 'O' of MPD-2001 and the then Chairman, NCRPB and Union Minister for Urban Development & Poverty Alleviation in a meeting held on 4.1.2001 had taken a decision on the Draft Zonal Development Plan for Zone 'O' and part 'P' that no active urban use was permitted in such eco-sensitive and fragile areas.

After discussions, it was decided that Planning Committee would adhere to the earlier decision taken by the Chairman, NCRPB. Accordingly, the Planning Committee did not recommend the above proposal.

Agenda Item No.3.3 Change of Land-Use for an Area Measuring 3.0 Acres (1.21 Ha) From Rural To Public and Semi-Public (Diagnostic-Cum-Rehabilitation and Research Centre) For Hind Kusht Nivaran Sansthan Known as Anusandhan at Alipur, Delhi

After detailed discussions, the Planning Committee recommended the proposal for approval of the Chairman, NCRPB and Hon'ble Minister for Urban Development and Poverty Alleviation.

Agenda Item No.3.4: Consideration of the Proposals for Modification of the Zoning Regulations of RP-2001 for Permitting Petrol Pumps in the "Green Buffer along the Major Transport Corridors"

Secretary, Department of Urban Development, Government of Rajasthan stated that there was no provision for locating petrol pumps in the green buffer along the major transport corridors as per RP-2001. Since traffic volume had increased manifold, petrol filling stations are required to be located along the Highways. The preparation of guidelines and its approval will take time. Therefore, he suggested to consider the proposals at this stage itself as they related to only petrol filling stations.

The committee, after discussions, decided that it would be in public interest that these proposals are considered after submission of the guidelines as per the decision at Agenda Item No.2 C in this regard. The Chairman reiterated his request that there should be no delay in submission of the report by this group as some families of Kargil Martyrs were also reportedly involved in this case.

AGENDA ITEM NO.4: CONSIDERATION OF THE ISSUES RELATED TO DRAFT REGIONAL PLAN-2021

i) Chairman observed that the draft document suffered from certain inadequacies such as lack of phasing, action-plan, incorrect assumptions about some future projects etc. It was also felt that there was a need to make a strategic plan and programme that for a phased implementation and coincide the phasing, to the extent possible, with the Five Year Plans.

ii) It was intimated that a number of meetings had been held with the Chairmen of various study groups and a working group was formed to appraise the draft document. The Chairmen observed that certain chapters which have been modified whose copies had been sent to the members have added value to the draft Plan by removing certain incorrect assumptions, updating the data base and by introducing phasing and a plan of action for different sectors like power, water supply, sewerage, drainage, solid waste management and transportation. He said that there were a number of other action items which should necessarily figure in the Sub-regional Plans and Master Plans etc. so that the policies of the Regional Plans get implemented and phasing of a number of programmes should be co-terminus, to the extent possible, with the Five Year Plans.

iii) In the light of the experience in regard to assignments of population in RP-2001 and the actual achievements being substantially different in respect of a number of metro and regional centres etc, the State governments had made population assignments for metro and regional centres etc. for this draft Plan. The Chairman was of the view that some assignments appeared to be much on the high side. He emphasized on the relevance of assumptions on population as a core input for planning so that only realistic investment plans are made and public/private money is not spent wastefully. He also stated that higher population assignments would necessarily imply that the area of development would be larger along with larger infrastructure requirements. If the population was not available as per the assignments, created infrastructure would either not be utilized at all or inadequately which might further reduce the life span of the assets. He also spoke of the methodologies adopted by the study group set up on demography and said that even while truly adequate data or its analysis was not available, we may have no options but to place faith in the recommendations given by the study group, views of the State governments in regard to two different centres and try to arrive at reasonable figures. Here he underscored the need for phasing the population assignments at two levels – 2011 and 2021.

iv) Commissioner (Planning), DDA congratulated the Chairman for strategizing the plan, preparing plan of action and introducing phasing. He said that it was a pioneering effort.

v) Principal Secretary, Department of Housing and Urban Planning, Government of U.P. appreciated the leadership provided by the Member Secretary and said that he was all for phasing of the Plan and to dovetail it with the Five Years Plan. He added that this would help in the implementation of the Regional Plan more effectively. He further stated in so far as population levels were concerned, the Development Authorities have certain reservations and the assignments made by the authorities under the State governments were based on ground realities and the development potential as per the policies laid down by the States.

vi) Special Secretary & Director, Department of Town & Country Planning, Government of Haryana, praised the new efforts in making Plan document action oriented. He was of the view that in the case of Gurgaon the Census figures do not appear to be real, in view of the fact that the Gurgaon UA does not cover all the areas that have been urbanized. If all such areas are included, the population would be more than 3 lakhs in 2001. Gurgaon is facing an acute pressure on infrastructure such as Water, Sewerage, Transport, etc. Further, there are plans to combine the 108 controlled areas with the controlled area of Gurgaon, including the new SEZ being proposed and IMT- Manesar. Keeping the above in view, it would be appropriate to plan for master services of Metropolitan level. Internal development of infrastructure could be taken up in a phased manner. He requested to retain the assigned population of 16.5 lakhs for 2021 for Gurgaon. CDD Haryana stated that they would provide the list of villages with their population of where urbanization has already taken place such as DLF, South City, Sushant Lok, etc. colonies after the village directory is available from Census of India. Regarding Sonepat-Kundli complex, it was informed that Rai was also a part of the complex and in any case, the official notification in this regard had been published by the State government. It was pleaded that no change might be made at this stage.

After discussions, it was decided that Haryana should not make any investment Plan. at this stage, beyond a population of 3.5 lacs till 2011.

vii) Syed S. Shafi, former Chief Planner, TCPO and Chairman, Working Group mentioned that certain critical data such as migration, occupational structure etc. are not available in Census 2001 and it will take some more time to get this information from the Census. Since the Regional Plan and the other Master Plans are being prepared for perspective 2021, base data for the above parameters would be required. It was decided that the Board would request the Registrar General, Census of India to process the data for NCR on priority basis and provide the same to the NCR Planning Board. Necessary funds for procuring the requisite data would be provided by the Board.

viii) General Manager (Planning), Greater Noida, stated that Greater Noida falls just outside the DMA and has been connected to Delhi through Expressway which has reduced the travel time. She added that Greater Noida is being developed as a low density area with lot of green/open spaces and has developed six sectors and a large

number of plots have already been sold. They are providing high quality infrastructure so that people may shift by choice. She requested that the population for Greater NOIDA may be retained as 7 lakhs for 2011 & 12 lakh for 2021. Secretary, Housing & Urban Government of U.P. stated that Greater NOIDA would be the destination of the After discussions, Planning Committee generally agreed with the above.

x) Each town/city was taken up individually and after detailed discussions, the Planning Committee recommended that the population levels for metro centres and regional centres for years 2011 and 2021 may be included in the draft document as below:-

Name of Town	Assigned Population by States earlier and included in the draft document	(Pop in lakhs)	
		Population levels as amended in the meeting of Planning Committee	
	2021	2011	2021
NCT-Delhi	220-230	193	220-230
Metro and Regional Centres within DMA			
Bahadurgarh	3	2	3
	25	16	25
	16.5	4.5	16.5
Ghaziabad incl. Loni	30.19	19	30.19
NOIDA	12	6	12
Sonepat-Kundli*	10	3.5	10
Surajpur-Kasna (Greater NOIDA)	12	6	12
<i>Sub-total DMA (excluding NCT-Delhi)</i>	<i>108.69</i>	<i>57</i>	<i>108.69</i>
Metro and Regional Centres outside DMA			
	7	3.6	7
	6	4.2	6
Palwal	4	1.7	3
Rawari-Dharuhera-Bawal	8	2	4
	22.8	15	22
Hapur	4.55	3	4.5
Bulandshahr - Khurja	4.91	3.7	4.77
Shahpatt-Baraut	3	1.6	3
	5	3.4	4.5
Wadi	4	1	3
Behror-Shahjahanpur Neemrana Complex**	10	1	3
<i>Sub-total MC/RC outside DMA</i>	<i>79.26</i>	<i>40.2</i>	<i>64.77</i>

It was recommended that firm figures for 2021 be specified in the Plan document after 2011 Census data is available.

4.2 Delhi Metropolitan Area (excluding NCT Delhi)

i) In the draft RP-2021 approved by the Planning Committee earlier, the area of DMA had increased from 1696 sq. kms. to about 5500 sq. kms. The Working Group had suggested to re-examine this as the area proposed for DMA is very large and only 30-40% area would only be urbanisable area. After discussions, it was decided that the boundaries of DMA would be co-terminus with the Controlled/ Development areas of adjacent towns of NCT-Delhi notified by the State governments in their Master/Development Plans. It was also decided that the State government would super impose the controlled areas on the revenue village boundary map and submit the same along with quantification (in ha.) to the NCR Planning Board within a week's time so that to prepare the Delhi Metropolitan Area zone map for RP-2021. CCP's of the Constituent States will ensure this submission.

ii) It was intimated that as per the 74th Constitutional Amendment Act, 1992, each metropolitan city would have its own Metropolitan Area and Metropolitan Planning Committee. At present, there are four metro towns in the region and they would have their own Metropolitan areas/committees, therefore, the nomenclature of DMA needs to be modified. Chairman suggested that the DMA may be renamed as Central National Capital Region (CNCR). It was agreed to by the Planning Committee.

iii) CCP – U.P. stated that Greater NOIDA is about 35 kms away from Delhi and does not even abut the boundaries of NCT Delhi. Moreover, the development of town is based on the concept of induced growth. Therefore, he suggested that the Gr. Noida should not be a part of the CNCR which was also agreed to by the Planning Committee.

4.3 Highway Corridor Zone

Planning Committee was apprised that 5 kms. wide controlled area on either side of highways would be very difficult to control and regulate. After discussions, Planning Committee decided that minimum of 500 mtrs. wide corridor on either side of the ROW of National Highway nos. 1,2, 8, 10, 24, 58 and 91 would form the Highway Corridor Zone. This minimum width of 500 mtrs. will be inclusive of green buffer.

4.4 Phasing of Draft Regional Plan

CCP-UP mentioned that the phasing initiated by the Board, which is co-terminus with Five Year Plans is very good idea and gives value addition to the Regional Plan.

Committee after discussions agreed with the phasing and approved the modified chapters.

4.5 Rural Development

Chairman mentioned that much greater emphasis was required on rural development in the region. He stated that any regional planning without due emphasis on rural development would not make any meaningful difference to the growth of the region. He also spoke about his earlier suggestions which had been sent to the State governments for identifying cluster of villages in each state to be taken up for pilot projects for planned growth and augmentation of facilities.

After discussions, it was decided that detailed rural development programmes will be incorporated in the Sub-regional Plans as well as in the District Plans, keeping an objective in view, that every migration from the villages to the neighbouring towns and industrial areas should be restricted to the extent possible.

4.6 Land use

After discussion, Planning Committee decided that elaboration of landuse details and zoning regulations would be incorporated in the Sub-Regional Plans and Master/Development Plans by the respective State governments. The phasing of landuse would be done for the years 2011 and 2021 in the Master/Development Plans. Chairman also desired that the Plans should clearly depict the position of utilization of existing landuse plan and infrastructure so that at the time of preparing new Master Plans, this important detail was kept in the picture adequately.

4.7 Transport Network

The Working Group had suggested that the proposed peripheral expressways are very close to NCT Delhi and need realignment to take them further away into the region, which would open up new areas for development.

After discussions, it was decided that the alignment of expressways would be modified at the time of their execution by undertaking feasibility / realignment study.

CCP-UP stated that the execution of the FNG Expressway has not taken place in the last eight to nine years. While on the private land, development had already taken place, the projects of Government of UP are suffering as no development could be taken up on the land reserved for FNG Expressway. It was, therefore, decided that CCP-UP and CRP-NCRPB (or his representative) would visit the alignment near Ghaziabad to ascertain that whether the proposed alignment could still be taken up in spite of the developments already taken place.

Regarding phasing of the transport projects, it was decided that a mix of radial roads and grid roads would be identified for the implementation in first phase in consultation with the CCPs NCR Cells of the respective States.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

No.K-14011/24/2003-NCRPB
NCR Planning Board
India Habitat Centre
1st Floor, Zone-IV,
Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110 003



(Rajeev Malhotra)
Joint Director

- Copy to :**
- 1. Chairman, Planning Committee.**
 - 2. Members of the Planning Committee.**
 - 3. Special invitees/participants.**
 - 4. All officers of the Board.**

LIST OF THE PARTICIPANTS

1.	Shri B.S. Lalli - Chairman Member Secretary NCRPB	10.	Shri R.K. Srivastava Additional Director General Department of Telecommunications Sanchar Bhawan, New Delhi.
2.	Shri J.S. Mishra Principal Secretary, Housing and Urban Planning, Govt. of UP, Bapu Bhawan, Uttar Pradesh Secretariat, Lucknow, U.P	11.	Shri K.C. Batra Executive Director HUDCO, HUDCO House, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110 003.
3.	Shri N.C. Wadhwa Special Secretary to Govt. of Haryana, Town & Country Planning & Urban Estate and Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector-18, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh	12.	Shri S.K. Zaman, Chief Co-ordinator Planner, NCR Planning Cell, Town & Country Planning Deptt., Navyug Market, Commercial Building, IInd Floor, Ghaziabad, U.P.
4.	Shri Ashok Sampatram Secretary, Urban Development Deptt., Govt. of Rajasthan, Rajasthan Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.	13.	K. Surjit Singh Chief Coordinator Planner NCR Planning Cell C/o Chief Administrator HUDA, SCO, Sector-6, Panchkula, Chandigarh.
5.	Shri D.P. Tiwari Secretary to Govt. of M.P. Housing and Environment Department, Govt. of Madhya Pradesh Secretariat, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh	13.	Shri Amar Chand, Asstt. Secretary, BMCC, Min. of Power, F-Wing, IInd Floor, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.
6.	Shri A.K. Jain Commissioner (Planning) Delhi Development Authority Vikas Minar, I.P. Estate, New Delhi.	14.	Shri R. Roy Dy. Director Central Electricity Authority R.K. Puram, New Delhi
7.	Shri U.K. Srivastava, Chief Town Planner (NCR), Town & Country Planning Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Nagar Niyojan Bhawan, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan	15.	Shri J.B. Kshisagar Town & Country Planner, Town & Country Planning Organisation, Govt. of India, Vikas Bhawan, I.P. Estate, New Delhi.
8.	Smt. Nisha Singh, Director, Delhi Division, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi	16.	Shri Sayed S. Shafi Flat No.22, Hauz Khas, SFS Apartments, New Delhi -110017.
9.	Dr. Mahavir Singh Director (HUD), Planning Commission Yojna Bhawan, New Delhi.	17.	Shri K.K. Sethi Dy. Director Central Electricity Authority R.K. Puram, New Delhi

18.	Shri Chandu Bhutia, Associate Town & Country Planner, NCR Planning Cell, Govt. of NCT- Delhi, Room No.507, 5 th Level, Delhi Secretariat, I.P. Estate, New Delhi.	22.	Shri A.K. Bhatnagar Associate Planner, NCR Planning Cell, Town & Country Planning Deptt., Navyug Market, Commercial Building, IInd Floor, Ghaziabad, U.P.
19.	Shri Ved Mittal Chief Architect Planner Ghaziabad Development Authority Ghaziabad, UP	23.	Shri R. Srinivas, Associate Town & Country Planner, Town & Country Planning Organisation, Govt. of India, Vikas Bhawan, I.P. Estate, New Delhi.
20.	Mrs. Rekha Deywani GM (Planning) Greater Noida Industrial Development Authority, H- 169, Sector-Gamma, Greater Noida City, Greater Noida, Distt. Gautam Budh Nagar, U.P.	24.	Shri Subhash C. Sharma Assistant Town Planner Office of the Chief Town Planner (NCR), Town & Country Planning Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Nagar Niyojan Bhawan, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan
21.	Ashok Kumar OSD (Planning) Delhi Development Authority Vikas Minar, I.P. Estate, New Delhi.		
Officers of the NCRPB			
25.	Smt. Shashi B. Srivastava, Director (A&F)	30.	Shri S. Surendra, Dy. Director
26.	Shri Rajeev Malhotra, Joint Director	31.	Ms. Anjali Pancholy, Assistant Director
27.	Shri Rajeev Chadha, Joint Director (Finance)	32.	Shri Dinesh Arora, Assistant Director
28.	Shri V.K. Thakore, Joint Director	33.	Ms. Meenakashi Singh, Assistant Director
29.	Shri J.N. Barman, Joint Director	34..	Smt. Kankan Kataria, Consultant